1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	EL PAPEL LLC, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01323-RAJ-JRC
12	v.	ORDER ON UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
13	JAY INSLEE, et al.,	BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	This matter is before the Court on proposed <i>amici curiae</i> 's unopposed motion for leave to	
17	file a brief in opposition to plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction (Dkt. 16). See Dkt. 41.	
18	Proposed <i>amici curiae</i> attached a proposed brief in opposition to the instant motion. <i>See</i> Dkt.	
19	41-1. Proposed <i>amici curiae</i> state that they have conferred with all parties as to this motion and	
20	that all parties are unopposed to the admission of the proposed <i>amicus</i> brief. <i>See</i> Dkt. 41, at 1.	
21	"District courts may consider <i>amicus</i> briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that	
22	have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the <i>amicus</i> has unique	
23	information or perspective that can help the court be	eyond the help that the lawyers for the parties
24		

1	are able to provide." Macareno v. Thomas, 378 F. Supp. 3d 933, 940 (W.D. Wash. 2019)	
2	(internal citations omitted). "The 'classic role' of <i>amicus curiae</i> is to assist a court in a case of	
3	public interest by 'supplementing the efforts of counsel," and "generally courts have 'exercised	
4	great liberality' in permitting amicus briefs." California v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, 381 F.	
5	Supp. 3d 1153, 1163–64 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (quoting Miller-Wohl Co. v. Comm'r of Labor &	
6	Indust., 694 F.2d 203, 204 (9th Cir. 1982); Woodfin Suite Hotels, LLC v. City of Emeryville, No.	
7	C 06-1254 SBA, 2007 WL 81911, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2007)).	
8	Having reviewed the instant motion and proposed <i>amicus</i> brief (<i>see</i> Dkts. 41, 41-1),	
9	the Court finds that proposed amici curiae, a collection of public interest organizations, have	
10	demonstrated that they have an interest in the issues presented to the Court in plaintiffs' motion	
11	for preliminary injunction (Dkt 16). See California v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, 381 F. Supp. 3d	
12	at 1164. The Court further finds that the information contained in the proposed <i>amicus</i> brief	
13	(Dkt. 41-1) to be helpful regarding the potential public interest ramifications beyond the parties	
14	directly involved regarding the impact of plaintiffs' requested relief on low-income renters. See	
15	Macareno, 378 F. Supp. 3d at 940.	
16	Accordingly, the Court finds good cause to GRANT the motion for leave to file the	
17	proposed amicus brief. Dkt. 41. Amici curiae shall file the amicus brief on or before	
18	October 23, 2020.	
19	Dated this 21st day of October, 2020.	
20		
21		
22	The hord (water	
23	J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge	
24		